UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

v.

ROBERT MENENDEZ

and

SALOMON MELGEN,

Defendants.

Crim. No. 2:15-cr-00155 Hon. William H. Walls

DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY CHART OF MOTIONS TO DISMISS

Abbe David Lowell
Jenny R. Kramer
Christopher D. Man
Scott W. Coyle
CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 974-5600

Kirk Ogrosky Murad Hussain **ARNOLD & PORTER LLP** 555 12th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20004 (202) 942-5330

Raymond M. Brown

GREENBAUM ROWE SMITH & DAVIS LLP

Metro Corporate Campus One P.O. Box 5600 Woodbridge, NJ 07095 (732) 476-3280

Stephen M. Ryan Thomas J. Tynan MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 500 North Capitol Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 (202) 756-8000

Counsel for Defendant Senator Robert Menendez Matthew I. Menchel Michael C. Fasano **KOBRE & KIM LLP** 2 South Biscayne Boulevard, 35th Floor Miami, FL 33131 (305) 967-6108

Counsel for Defendant Dr. Salomon Melgen

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 12(b)(3), Defendants Senator Menendez and Dr. Melgen are filing the following 15 motions to dismiss the Indictment as a whole or in part. Defendants believe that a significant number of dispositive motions are required at this time to address the large number of prosecutorial charging errors in this case. While many of these motions apply to all Defendants and the entire Indictment, others apply only to certain Defendants and/or certain counts. To assist the Court in its consideration of the various motions, the Defendants have numbered these motions and submit this chart identifying each motion to dismiss by number, title, the Defendant it applies to and the counts addressed. When referencing the motions, Defendants have abbreviated them as MTD, followed by their respective number.¹

Several of these motions make reference to grand jury materials that Defendants were provided by the prosecution in the course of discovery. Although defense counsel did not believe the protective order would require the motions be filed under seal, they consulted the government as to whether the government would prefer they be filed under seal. The government responded, "we agree that it makes sense to file these types of pleadings on the public docket." (Email from P. Koski to A. Lowell of 7/17/15.) Even prior to this agreement, much of the grand jury material addressed in the motions had been unsealed by the Third Circuit. <u>In re Grand Jury. Investig.</u> (Menendez), No. 14-4678 (3d Cir. June 23, 2015) (unsealing order).

MTN #	TITLE	DEFENDANTS	CTS
1	SENATOR MENENDEZ'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE INDICTMENT BECAUSE ALL CHARGES AGAINST HIM DEPEND ON PROVING ALLEGATIONS THROUGH EVIDENCE THAT IS INADMISSIBLE BY THE SPEECH OR DEBATE CLAUSE		All
2	SENATOR MENENDEZ'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE INDICTMENT BASED ON VIOLATIONS OF THE SPEECH OR DEBATE CLAUSE BEFORE THE GRAND JURY	Senator Menendez	All
3	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE INDICTMENT DUE TO THE PROSECUTION PROVIDING FALSE TESTIMONY TO THE GRAND JURY AND COMPROMISING THE GRAND JURY'S INDEPENDENCE		All
4	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE INDICTMENT FOR ERRONEOUS INSTRUCTIONS TO THE GRAND JURY ON SPEECH OR DEBATE CLAUSE LAW, FIRST AMENDMENT LAW AND OTHER ISSUES		All
5	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR GRAND JURY BIAS	Both	All
6	DEFENDANTS MOTION TO DISMISS THE INDICTMENT BASED ON PROSECUTORIAL MISCONDUCT AND INVESTIGATORY ABUSES	Both	All
7	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE INDICTMENT FOR A LACK OF SPECIFICITY UNDER THE FIFTH AND SIXTH AMENDMENTS	Both	All
8	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS 1-21 FOR VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT	Both	Counts 1-21

_

Dr. Melgen has not joined Senator Menendez's motions concerning the Speech or Debate Clause (MTD Nos. 1 & 2), simply because Dr. Melgen lacks standing to invoke the Clause. In the event that the Court's disposition of those motions were to present issues bearing on Dr. Melgen's ability to mount his defense, he reserves the right and has good cause to address such issues through an appropriate motion to the Court, including a motion to dismiss some or all charges.

9	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS 15- 18 AND TO STRIKE ALL OVERT ACTS OF COUNT 1 CONCERNING MAJORITY PAC		Counts 1-21
10	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS 1- 21 FOR FAILURE TO ALLEGE AN "OFFICIAL ACT" WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE BRIBERY STATUTE		Counts 1-21
11	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE CONSPIRACY CHARGED IN COUNT 1	Both	Count 1
12	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS THE TRAVEL ACT (COUNT 2) AND MAIL AND WIRE FRAUD COUNTS (COUNTS 19-21)		Counts 1, 9-21
13	SENATOR MENENDEZ'S MOTION TO DISMISS COUNT 22	Senator Menendez	Count 22
14	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR THE PROSECUTION TO ELECT DISMISSAL OF MULTIPLICITOUS COUNTS PURSUANT TO THE DOUBLE JEOPARDY CLAUSE	Both	Counts 3, 5, 15, 19-21
15	DR. SALOMON MELGEN'S MOTION TO DISMISS THE INDICTMENT, TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE, AND FOR A FRANKS HEARING BASED ON ILLEGAL SEARCH AND SEIZURE	Dr. Melgen	All

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Abbe David Lowell /s/ Kirk Ogrosky Kirk Ogrosky Abbe David Lowell Jenny R. Kramer Murad Hussain Christopher D. Man ARNOLD & PORTER LLP Scott W. Coyle 555 12th Street, N.W. CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP Washington, DC 20004 Kirk.Ogrosky@aporter.com 1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 942-5330 adlowell@chadbourne.com (202) 974-5600

Raymond M. Brown

GREENBAUM ROWE SMITH & DAVIS LLP

Michael C. Fasano

KOBRE & KIM LLP

P.O. Box 5600

Matthew I. Menchel

Michael C. Fasano

KOBRE & KIM LLP

2 South Biscayne Boulevard, 35th Floor

Woodbridge, NJ 07095 rbrown@greenbaumlaw.com (732) 476-3280 Miami, FL 33131 matthew.menchel@kobrekim.com (305) 967-6108

Stephen M. Ryan
Thomas J. Tynan
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
500 North Capitol Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
sryan@mwe.com
(202) 756-8000
Counsel for Defendant
Senator Robert Menendez

Counsel for Defendant Dr. Salomon Melgen